

ON THE RIGIDITY OF PUNCTURED OVALOIDS. II

R. E. GREENE & H. WU

A C^k isometric embedding $\phi: M \rightarrow R^n$ of a Riemannian manifold M into n -dimensional euclidean space R^n is said to be *rigid in the class of C^k isometric embeddings* if, corresponding to each C^k isometric embedding $\psi: M \rightarrow R^n$, there is a rigid motion $T_\psi: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ such that $\psi = T_\psi \circ \phi$. A theorem of Cohn-Vossen [3] is that any C^3 isometric embedding of a compact 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold of everywhere positive Gaussian curvature in R^3 is rigid in the class of C^3 isometric embeddings. Earlier, Hadamard [5] had proven that a compact C^2 submanifold of R^3 having everywhere positive Gaussian curvature was a convex surface, that is, the boundary of a convex body in R^3 . Using this convexity property, Herglotz [6] (see also Hicks [7]) gave a brief new proof of the rigidity theorem of Cohn-Vossen; Wintner [20] showed using a refinement of Herglotz's approach that the theorem of Cohn-Vossen remains true if C^3 is replaced by C^2 throughout its statement. In the course of their work on the total curvature of submanifolds of euclidean space, Chern and Lashof [2] proved that a compact C^2 surface in R^3 with everywhere nonnegative Gaussian curvature was necessarily a convex surface. Using this generalization of the convexity result of Hadamard, Voss [18] and independently Sacksteder [15] extended Herglotz's rigidity argument to show that any C^2 isometric embedding of a compact 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold of everywhere nonnegative Gaussian curvature is rigid.

It was shown in [4], the first paper of this series, that, if M is a compact orientable 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold with a C^5 metric of everywhere positive Gaussian curvature and if M' is the manifold obtained from M by deleting a finite number of points p_1, \dots, p_n , then any C^2 isometric embedding $\phi': M' \rightarrow R^3$ is rigid in the class of C^2 isometric embeddings. In fact, it was shown that ϕ' is necessarily the restriction to M' of a C^2 isometric embedding $\phi: M \rightarrow R^3$, and the rigidity of ϕ' is then a consequence of the rigidity theorem for C^2 isometric embeddings of compact manifolds of positive curvature. The purpose of the present paper is to prove a similar rigidity and regularity result for compact orientable 2-dimensional Riemannian manifolds of everywhere nonnegative curvature with a finite number of points deleted, at each of which

Received September 13, 1971. The work of the first author was largely carried out under partial support of a Sloan Foundation Grant to the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences. The second author was a Sloan Fellow with a partial support by the National Science Foundation.

points the Gaussian curvature is positive. This rigidity result is stated and proved in § 2. § 1 contains the statement and proof of a proposition concerning the planar point set of an isometric embedding in R^3 of such a manifold. Because the manifold is not assumed to be complete, this proposition is not a special case of Sacksteder's Theorem 1 in [14]; however, the present proposition is proved here using Sacksteder's methods. Some related results regarding the type of local convexity used in the proof of the proposition can be found in [16].

1. The structure of the set of planar points

Let V be a 2-dimensional manifold and $\psi: V \rightarrow R^3$ be an embedding. A *planar point* of ψ is a point $p \in V$ such that the second fundamental form of $\psi: V \rightarrow R^3$ at p is zero. If V is oriented, the *Gauss map* $\eta: V \rightarrow S^2$ (corresponding to a fixed orientation of R^3) is the map which assigns to $p \in V$ the unit vector at the origin in R^3 parallel to the positively oriented normal to $\psi(V)$ at $\psi(p)$. The Gauss map has rank zero at $p \in V$ if and only if p is a planar point.

Proposition. *If M' is the manifold obtained by deleting a finite number of points p_1, \dots, p_n from a (C^∞) manifold M homeomorphic to S^2 , and $\psi: M' \rightarrow R^3$ is a C^3 embedding of M' having the Gaussian curvature of the induced metric on M' nonnegative everywhere and positive in a neighborhood of the points p_1, \dots, p_n , then each component T_0 of the set T of planar points of ψ has the property that $\psi(T_0)$ is a compact convex subset of a plane in R^3 .*

Proof. Fix orientations of R^3 and M . The corresponding Gauss map $\eta: M' \rightarrow S^2$ is C^2 since ψ is C^3 . Since the planar points of ψ are precisely those points of M' at which η has rank zero, a theorem of Sard [17] implies that η is constant on each component T_β of the set of planar points. If the unit vector \vec{N} is the common value of η at the points of T_β , then the C^3 function $p \rightarrow \vec{N} \cdot \overrightarrow{\psi(p)}$ from M' to R has derivative zero at every point of T_β . By a theorem of A. P. Morse [9], $p \rightarrow \vec{N} \cdot \overrightarrow{\psi(p)}$ is constant on T_β . Thus $\psi(T_\beta)$ lies in a (uniquely determined) plane perpendicular to \vec{N} . In particular, $\psi(T_0)$ lies in a plane which is perpendicular to \vec{N}_0 , where \vec{N}_0 is the normal vector to $\psi(M')$ at every point of $\psi(T_0)$.

Since by assumption the curvature is positive in a neighborhood in M' of each of the points p_1, \dots, p_n , it follows that T is bounded away from p_1, \dots, p_n in M and hence that T is a closed subset not only of M' but also of M . Thus T is compact, and consequently every component of T is compact. In particular, T_0 is compact and hence $\psi(T_0)$ is compact.

Let V be a component of the open set $M - T$ and $\{U_\alpha\}$ be the components of $M - V$. Note that no $p_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, is contained in the boundary of any U_α since for each i the boundary of V , which is a subset of T , is bounded away from p_i . Also, each U_α contains only one component of the boundary of $M - V$

[10, p. 124]. Therefore the boundary of each U_α is a connected subset of T , and thus by the previous remarks the normal to $\psi(M')$ is constant on the boundary of U_α . Let \vec{N}_α be its constant value there.

For the remainder of the proof, a special type of local convexity property called here (as in [14]) W -convexity will be used; Let \vec{v} be any unit vector at the origin in R^3 , P be the plane through the origin orthogonal to \vec{v} , and $\pi_P: R^3 \rightarrow P$ be the orthogonal projection on P . Define W to be any (open) connected component of the set $\{p \in M' \mid \overrightarrow{\gamma(p)} \cdot \vec{v} \neq 0\}$. A subset $U \subset W$ is said to be W -convex if every two points p, q of U , which are joined by an arc in W whose orthogonal projection on P is the line segment from $\pi_P(p)$ to $\pi_P(q)$, are joined by an arc in U whose orthogonal projection on P is the line segment from $\pi_P(p)$ to $\pi_P(q)$. (Since $\pi_P\psi|W$ is a local homeomorphism, such an arc in W is unique up to parametrization, it being supposed here and henceforth that the arc is transversed without reversals.) The following properties of W -convex sets are easily derived from the definition: a) Any component of a W -convex set is W -convex. b) The intersection of any family of W -convex sets is W -convex.

The following lemma will be used in proving that $\pi_P\psi(T_0)$ is convex:

Lemma 1. *Let V be a component of $M - T$. If U_{α_0} is a component of $M - V$ such that U_{α_0} contains T_0 , then $U_{\alpha_0} \cap W$ is W -convex for $W =$ the component of $\{p \in M' \mid \overrightarrow{\gamma(p)} \cdot \vec{N}_0 \neq 0\}$ containing T_0 .*

Proof. Without loss of generality it can be supposed that the unit vector \vec{N}_0 is along the positive z -axis so that the perpendicular plane P through the origin is the xy -plane. Let $x(p) = [x(p), y(p), z(p)] \in R^3$ be the coordinate representation of ψ . To prove that $U_{\alpha_0} \cap W$ is W -convex, it is sufficient to show that if γ is an arc in W from p to q , $p, q \in U_{\alpha_0} \cap W$, with the projection of γ the line segment L from $\pi_P\psi(p)$ to $\pi_P\psi(q)$ in P , then γ lies in $U_{\alpha_0} \cap W$. Since $U_{\alpha_0} \cap W$ is closed in W , $\gamma \cap (W - (U_{\alpha_0} \cap W))$ is a (possibly empty) union of disjoint open curve segments in W whose endpoints lie in $U_{\alpha_0} \cap W$. Suppose that there is at least one such segment γ_1 . Denote the closed arc which consists of γ_1 together with its endpoints by $\bar{\gamma}_1$. Note that $\pi_P\psi(\bar{\gamma}_1)$ is a closed line segment in P with endpoints in $\pi_P\psi(U_{\alpha_0})$.

If U_α is any component of $M - V$ with $U_\alpha \cap W \neq \emptyset$, then the boundary of U_α intersects W since otherwise W would be contained in the interior of U_α , contradicting the fact that the boundary of U_{α_0} is contained in W . Thus, if $U_\alpha \cap W \neq \emptyset$ then $\vec{N}_\alpha \cdot \vec{N}_0 \neq 0$. Let P_α be the (uniquely determined) plane perpendicular to \vec{N}_α which contains the boundary of U_α . For each α , define the function $z_\alpha: P \rightarrow R$ by taking $z_\alpha(x, y)$ to be the unique real number satisfying $[x, y, z_\alpha(x, y)] \in P_\alpha$. ($\vec{N}_\alpha \cdot \vec{N}_0 \neq 0$ insures that such a $z_\alpha(x, y)$ exists and is uniquely determined.) Note that $z_{\alpha_0}(x, y)$ is constant since \vec{N}_0 is perpendicular to the xy -plane. Define $F: W \rightarrow R$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(p) &= z(p) - z_{\alpha_0}(x(p), y(p)) && \text{if } p \in W \cap V \\
 &= z_{\alpha}(x(p), y(p)) - z_{\alpha_0}(x(p), y(p)) && \text{if } p \in W \cap U_{\alpha} .
 \end{aligned}$$

F is C^2 , since the boundary of each U_{α} is contained in T and $\psi(M')$ coincides with its tangent plane up to third order at each point of $\psi(T)$. Now define a mapping $\Phi: W \rightarrow R^3$ by

$$\Phi(p) = [x(p), y(p), F(p)] .$$

Φ is a C^2 immersion, and its planar points are precisely the points of $W - V$. The second fundamental form of ψ on V can be supposed without loss of generality to be everywhere positive semidefinite (it is either everywhere positive semidefinite or everywhere negative semidefinite on V because V is a connected set of non-planar points). Then the second fundamental form of Φ is positive semidefinite.

Return now to the consideration of the curve segment $\bar{\gamma}_1 \subset W$ whose end-points lie in U_{α} . Since $\pi_P\psi|_{\bar{\gamma}_1}$ is a one-to-one map of $\bar{\gamma}_1$ onto L_1 , $\pi_P\psi$ and hence Φ are each one-to-one in some neighborhood U of $\bar{\gamma}$ in W . Thus $\Phi(U)$ can be considered to be the graph over $\pi_P\psi(U)$ of the function F . Then F is convex on $\pi_P\psi(U)$ because of the positive semidefiniteness of the second fundamental form of Φ . It may be assumed (by a linear change in the x, y coordinates) that the segment L_1 is a portion of the x -axis, say from $[a, 0, 0]$ to $[b, 0, 0]$, $b > a$. $F_{xx} \geq 0$; but since the endpoints of L_1 lie in $\pi_P\psi(T)$, $F_x([a, 0, 0]) = F_x([b, 0, 0]) = 0$ and $F([a, 0, 0]) = F([b, 0, 0]) = 0$. Hence $F = 0$ everywhere on L_1 .

$F_{yy} \geq 0$ everywhere on $\pi_P\psi(U)$; since $F = F_y = 0$ at every point of L_1 , it follows that there is some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\{[x, y, 0] | a \leq x \leq b, |y| \leq \varepsilon\} \subset \pi_P\psi(U)$ and such that $F([x, y, 0]) \geq 0$ for $a \leq x \leq b, |y| \leq \varepsilon$. Choose such an ε . Then, for $a \leq x \leq b$ and $|y| \leq \varepsilon$,

$$0 \leq F([x, y, 0]) \leq \frac{b-x}{b-a}F([a, y, 0]) + \frac{x-a}{b-a}F([b, y, 0]) ,$$

the second inequality following directly from the convexity of F . Since $F = F_y = F_{yy} = 0$ at $[a, 0, 0]$, $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} F([a, y, 0])/y^2 = 0$; similarly, $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} F([x, y, 0])/y^2 = 0$ for $a \leq x \leq b$. The inequalities for $F([x, y, 0])$ then imply that $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} F([x, y, 0])/y^2 = 0$ for $a \leq x \leq b$. Thus $F_{yy}([x, 0, 0]) = 0$ for $a \leq x \leq b$. Since also $F_{xx}([x, 0, 0]) = 0$ for $a \leq x \leq b$, the semidefinite second fundamental form of the graph F is 0 everywhere on L_1 . Thus $\bar{\gamma}_1$ lies entirely in the set of planar points of Φ , and hence $\bar{\gamma}_1 \cap V = \emptyset$. It follows that $\bar{\gamma}_1 \subset U_{\alpha_0}$, and thus the proof of the lemma is complete.

To continue the proof of the proposition, consider the intersection $\cap_{U_{\alpha}}(W \cap U_{\alpha})$ with W of every component U_{α} of $M - V$ which contains T_0 for all

components V of $M - T$. By Lemma 1 and property (b) of W -convexity, this intersection is W -convex; and hence by property (a) of W -convexity the component of this intersection which contains T_0 is W -convex. This component is a connected subset of T , which contains T_0 and hence equals T_0 . Thus T_0 is W -convex.

To complete the proof that $\psi(T_0)$ is convex, let $S =$ the set of all pairs of points $(p, q) \in T_0 \times T_0$ such that the (closed) line segment from $\psi(p)$ to $\psi(q)$ lies in $\psi(T_0)$. $\psi(T_0)$ is convex if and only if $S = T_0 \times T_0$. Since $\{(p, p) | p \in T_0\} \subset S$ and T_0 is non-empty, S is non-empty. From the compactness (and consequent closedness) of $\psi(T_0)$ in R^3 , it follows easily that S is closed in $T_0 \times T_0$. To see that S is open in $T_0 \times T_0$, observe that since $\psi(T_0)$ lies in a plane parallel to P , $\pi_P \psi$ is a diffeomorphism of some open subset U_0 of M' with $T_0 \subset U_0 \subset W$ onto an open subset of the plane P . If $(p, q) \in S$, then the line segment L from $\pi_P \psi(p)$ to $\pi_P \psi(q)$ lies in $\pi_P \psi(U_0)$ and hence some convex open neighborhood W_L of L in P lies in $\pi_P \psi(U_0)$. Put $U_L = U_0 \cap (\pi_P \psi)^{-1}(W_L)$. U_L is an open subset of W , and if $p', q' \in U_L \cap T_0$ then the line segment from $\pi_P \psi(p')$ to $\pi_P \psi(q')$ lies in W_L and hence in $\pi_P \psi(U)$. Then there exists an arc γ' in U with the image of this arc under $\pi_P \psi$ equal to this line segment in P . Since $U \subset W$, the W -convexity of T_0 implies that γ' lies in T_0 , and therefore the line segment from $\pi_P \psi(p')$ to $\pi_P \psi(q')$ lies in $\pi_P \psi(T_0)$. Since $\pi_P | \psi(T_0)$ is a translation, it follows that the line segment from $\psi(p')$ to $\psi(q')$ lies in $\psi(T_0)$. Thus $(U_L \times U_L) \cap (T_0 \times T_0)$ is an open neighborhood of $(p, q) \in S$, and so S is open in $T_0 \times T_0$. Since S is also closed in $T_0 \times T_0$ and is nonempty, the connectedness of $T_0 \times T_0$ implies that $S = T_0 \times T_0$. The proof of the convexity of $\psi(T_0)$ is thus complete.

2. The rigidity theorem

Theorem. *If M' is the manifold obtained by deleting a finite number of points p_1, \dots, p_n from a compact, orientable 2-manifold M with a C^5 Riemannian metric whose Gaussian curvature is everywhere nonnegative, and the Gaussian curvature of this metric on M is positive at each of the points p_1, \dots, p_n , then any C^3 isometric embedding $\phi': M' \rightarrow R^3$ is the restriction to M' of a C^2 isometric embedding $\phi: M \rightarrow R^3$, and the isometric embedding ϕ' is rigid in the class of C^3 isometric embeddings of M' .*

Proof. Since $\phi': M' \rightarrow R^3$ is an isometric embedding, the Riemannian distance between two points q_1, q_2 of M' is greater than or equal to the distance between $\phi'(q_1)$ and $\phi'(q_2)$ in R^3 . Hence ϕ' maps Cauchy sequences in M' to Cauchy sequences in R^3 , and consequently there is a unique continuous extension $\phi: M \rightarrow R^3$ of ϕ' to all of M . ϕ' is by assumption an embedding: the topology induced on M' by ϕ' from the topology of R^3 agrees with the manifold topology. This induced topology is thus Hausdorff; it follows that $\phi: M \rightarrow R^3$

is one-to-one except for possible identifications of the points p_1, \dots, p_n to each other under ϕ .

Lemma 2. $R^3 - \phi(M)$ has precisely two components, and $\phi(M)$ is their common boundary.

Proof (after Alexander's proof of the Jordan-Brouwer theorem). Choose a triangulation of M with p_1, \dots, p_n among the vertices. The image under ϕ of this triangulation is a triangulation of $\phi(M)$. It is easy to verify using these triangulations that

$$H_2(\phi(M); Z_2) \cong H_2(M; Z_2) \cong Z_2.$$

Then $H^2(\phi(M); Z_2) = Z_2$. From Alexander duality, $H_0(R^3 - \phi(M); Z_2) = H^2(\phi(M); Z_2) \oplus Z_2$. Thus $H_0(R^3 - \phi(M); Z_2) = Z_2 \oplus Z_2$, and $R^3 - \phi(M)$ has exactly two components, say I and E .

$\text{Cl} I \cap \text{Cl} E \subseteq \phi(M)$. Suppose that $\phi(M) - (\text{Cl} I \cap \text{Cl} E)$ is not empty. Then $\phi^{-1}(\phi(M) - (\text{Cl} I \cap \text{Cl} E))$ is open (and nonempty) in M . Hence there is a set $D \subset M'$ such that D is homeomorphic to the closed unit disc and such that $\phi(\overset{\circ}{D}) \subset \phi(M) - (\text{Cl} I \cap \text{Cl} E)$. ($\overset{\circ}{D}$ = interior of D .) Since $\phi(\overset{\circ}{D}) \subset \phi(M) - (\text{Cl} I \cap \text{Cl} E)$, $R^3 - \phi(M - \overset{\circ}{D})$ is not connected. But $H^2(M - \overset{\circ}{D}; Z_2) \cong H_2(M - \overset{\circ}{D}; Z_2) = 0$ and as before $H^2(\phi(M - \overset{\circ}{D}); Z_2) \cong H^2(M - \overset{\circ}{D}; Z_2)$. Hence, again applying Alexander duality, $H_0(R^3 - \phi(M - \overset{\circ}{D}); Z_2) = Z_2$ so that $R^3 - \phi(M - \overset{\circ}{D})$ is connected. This contradiction completes the proof. (For a more detailed version of this argument, see the Appendix of [4].)

Note that the compactness of $\phi(M)$ implies that $R^3 - \phi(M)$ has only one unbounded component. According to Lemma 2, $R^3 - \phi(M)$ has then exactly one bounded component and one unbounded component; hereafter I denotes the bounded component of $R^3 - \phi(M)$ (the "interior" of $\phi(M)$) and E the unbounded component (the "exterior" of $\phi(M)$).

Lemma 3. The complement in M' of the set of planar points of ϕ' is connected.

Proof. Let T be the set of planar points of ϕ' . As noted in the proof of the proposition of § 1, T is a compact subset of M' and hence a closed subset of M . A closed subset of the sphere separates the sphere only if one of its components separates the sphere [10, p. 123]. Since $T \cup \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ is closed and has as its components the components of T together with the one-point sets $\{p_1\}, \dots, \{p_n\}$, it suffices for the proof of the present lemma to show that no component of T separates M . If a component T_0 of T separated M , then any subset of M homeomorphic to this component would also separate M [8, p. 101]. Every component of T is homeomorphic to a compact convex plane set by the proposition of § 1. Hence T_0 would be homeomorphic to a point, a closed straight line segment, or the closed unit disc. Since each of these three clearly has a homeomorphic image in M which fails to separate M , the lemma follows.

It follows immediately by continuity considerations from Lemma 3 that the

semidefinite second fundamental form of $\phi': M' \rightarrow R^3$ (relative to either of the two continuous unit normal fields defined on all of $\phi'(M')$) is of constant sign, that is, is either positive semidefinite everywhere or negative semidefinite everywhere in M' . This conclusion will be used in the proofs of Lemmas 4 and 5.

For a given vector $\vec{N} \in S^2$, the function $h_N: M \rightarrow R$ defined by $h_N(p) = \overrightarrow{\phi(p)} \cdot \vec{N}$ is C^3 on M' , and its critical points in M' are precisely those points $p \in M'$ at which the tangent plane to $\phi(M')$ at $\phi(p)$ is perpendicular to \vec{N} . Such a critical point can be degenerate only if \vec{N} or $-\vec{N}$ is a critical value of the Gauss map $\gamma: M' \rightarrow S^2$. By Sard's theorem [17], the set \textcircled{C} of critical values of the C^2 map γ is of measure 0 in S^2 . Thus $\textcircled{C} \cup -\textcircled{C} (= \{\vec{v} \in S^2 \mid -\vec{v} \in \textcircled{C}\})$ is also of measure 0 in S^2 . The set \textcircled{A} of vectors $\vec{N} \in S^2$ for which h_N has only nondegenerate critical points, which set contains the complement in S^2 of $\textcircled{C} \cup -\textcircled{C}$, is therefore everywhere dense in S^2 .

Lemma 4. *If $\vec{N} \in \textcircled{A}$, then, for any $t \in R$, the intersection of the set $P_t = \{\vec{v} \in R^3 \mid \vec{v} \cdot \vec{N} = t\}$ with $\phi(M)$ is either empty or connected.*

Proof. Define a C^k generalized circle to be either a point or a C^k diffeomorph of a circle; for brevity, a C^0 generalized circle will be referred to hereafter simply as a generalized circle. A generalized circle which is not a single point will be called a *nondegenerate generalized circle*. Clearly, it suffices to show that $P_t \cap \phi(M)$ consists of at most a single generalized circle. Since the proof of this fact is very nearly identical to the proof of the Lemma in [4], only an outline of the argument will be given here together with a description of the modifications needed to fit the argument to the present situation. The reader is then referred to [4] for the remaining details.

Throughout the following discussion, denote h_N by h and let t_1, \dots, t_m be an ordered listing ($t_1 < \dots < t_m$) of the finite set $\{h\phi(p_1), \dots, h\phi(p_n)\}$ so that $\{\phi(p_1), \dots, \phi(p_n)\} \subset (P_{t_1} \cap \phi(M)) \cup \dots \cup (P_{t_m} \cap \phi(M))$ but no such inclusion holds for any smaller set of P_t 's.

A number of preliminary conclusions will now be stated and discussed:

(A) If $t \notin \{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$, then $P_t \cap \phi(M)$ is a finite disjoint union of generalized circles of class C^3 .

This assertion follows easily from the facts that $P_t \cap \phi(M) \subset \phi(M')$ and that h has as critical points in $\phi(M')$ at most nondegenerate and hence isolated maxima and minima.

(B) Each generalized circle of (A) is a convex curve in P_t (i.e., it lies entirely on one side of each of its tangents and thus bounds a convex domain in P_t).

This assertion is an essential point in the proof of the lemma; the convexity of these curves of intersection is precisely the property which makes it possible to deduce enough information about the behavior of $\phi(M)$ in a neighborhood of each $\phi(p_i)$ to complete the proof. The fact that the intersection curves are

convex is a consequence of the constancy of sign of the second fundamental form of $\phi(M')$, which was deduced from Lemma 3, and of Meusnier's theorem (Willmore [19, p. 96]). Since $P_t \cap \phi(M) \subset \phi(M')$, this constancy of sign implies that the sign of the curvature of each C^3 generalized circle (which is not a point) is constant when the generalized circle is considered as a plane curve in P_t . It is a standard result that a plane curve whose curvature has constant sign is convex.

(C) If the critical points of h and the points $\phi(p_1), \dots, \phi(p_n)$ are removed from $P_{t_j} \cap \phi(M)$, the remainder consists of a disjoint union of C^3 diffeomorphs of straight lines and circles. The critical points of h form a discrete set in $P_{t_j} \cap \phi(M)$.

These facts are immediate consequences respectively of the implicit function theorem and of the fact that the critical points of h in $\phi(M')$ are nondegenerate and hence isolated.

$P_{t'} \rightarrow P_t$ as $t' \rightarrow t$; it is intuitively clear that the intersection $P_{t'} \cap \phi(M)$ converges to $P_t \cap \phi(M)$ in a uniform fashion as $t' \rightarrow t$ because of the facts that the critical points of h are nondegenerate so that the level sets of h on $\phi(M')$ vary uniformly and that the value of ϕ at each p_i is uniquely determined by the values of ϕ in a (deleted) neighborhood of p_i in M' . To make this observation precise, an explicit description of the variation of $P_t \cap \phi(M)$ with t is needed:

Let $\text{grad } h$ be the gradient vector field of the function h relative to the induced metric on $\phi(M')$ and set $V = \text{grad } h / \|\text{grad } h\|^2$. V is defined everywhere on $\phi(M)$ except at the points $\phi(p_1) \dots \phi(p_n)$ and the critical points of h . On its domain of definition, V generates a local one-parameter group of local diffeomorphisms η_t ; explicitly, if V is defined in a neighborhood of $p \in \phi(M')$, and $\gamma: [0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \phi(M)$ is the integral curve of V issuing from p , then $\eta_t(p) = \gamma(t)$. Observe that, if $h(p) = t'$, then $h(\gamma(t)) = t + t'$ for all t for which $\gamma(t)$ is defined; equivalently, if $p \in P_{t'} \cap \phi(M)$ and $\eta_t(p)$ is defined, then $\eta_t(p) \in P_{t+t'} \cap \phi(M)$.

Suppose that $t \notin \{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$, and let C be a C^2 diffeomorph of the unit circle in $P_t \cap \phi(M)$. Suppose further that there is a t' such that $\eta_s(p)$ is defined for all $p \in C$ and all s such that $0 \leq s < t'$. Then it can be shown as in [4] that $\eta_s(p)$ approaches a limit, to be called $\eta_{t'}(p)$, as $s \rightarrow t'$ and that the mapping $p \rightarrow \eta_{t'}(p)$ is a continuous mapping of C . Symbolically, write $C \uparrow \eta_{t'}(C)$. Similarly, if $C \subseteq P_t \cap \phi(M)$ is a C^2 diffeomorph of the circle and the integral curves $\{\xi_p(x)\}$ of $-V$ issuing from points p of C are defined for all $p \in C$ and all s such that $0 \leq s < t'$, then $\eta_{-t'}(p) = \lim_{s \rightarrow t'} \xi_p(s)$ exists for all $p \in C$, and the mapping $p \rightarrow \eta_{-t'}(p)$ is continuous. Again, write symbolically $C \downarrow \eta_{-t'}(C)$. The following statement (D) describes the situation in which the hypotheses required for the definition of the maps $\eta_{t'}$ and $\eta_{-t'}$ are satisfied (only the case of $\eta_{t'}$, $t' > 0$, will be treated explicitly; the case of $\eta_{-t'}$ is obtainable by obvious minor modifications).

(D) Let $t_{j-1} < t < t_j$ and $t' = t_j - t$. If $C \subseteq P_t \cap \phi(M)$ is a C^2 diffeomorph of the unit circle and γ_p are the integral curves of V issuing from $p \in C$

with $\gamma_p(0) = p$, then one but not both of the following conditions (α) , (β) is satisfied: (α) There is some $p \in C$ such that, for some u with $0 < u < t'$, $\gamma_p(u)$ is not defined but $\gamma_p(s)$ is defined for $0 \leq s < u$. Then, for all $q \in C$, $\gamma_q(s)$ is defined for $0 \leq s < u$, but $\gamma_q(u)$ is undefined, and there exists a local maximum Q of h such that $\lim_{s \uparrow u} \gamma_q(s) = Q$ for all $q \in C$. (β) For all $p \in C$, $\gamma_p(s)$ is defined for $0 \leq s < t'$. Then $C \uparrow \eta_{t'}(C)$.

(E) If $Q \in P_{t_j} \cap \phi(M)$ is an isolated point in $P_{t_j} \cap \phi(M)$, then for some $t \neq t_j$ and some $C \subseteq P_t \cap \phi(M)$, C is a C^2 diffeomorph of the circle, $C \uparrow Q$ (if $t < t_j$) or $C \downarrow Q$ (if $t > t_j$).

This assertion follows immediately if Q is a critical point of h in $\phi(M')$. In case $Q \in \phi(M')$, a more intricate argument is needed and is given in [4], where it is also shown that:

(F) If $Q \in P_{t_j} \cap \phi(M)$ is not an isolated point, then for some $\varepsilon > 0$ there are C^2 diffeomorphs C_1 and C_2 of the circle with $C_1 \subseteq P_{t_j-\varepsilon} \cap \phi(M)$ and $C_2 \subseteq P_{t_j+\varepsilon} \cap \phi(M)$ such that $C_1 \uparrow \eta_\varepsilon(C_1)$ with $Q \in \eta_\varepsilon(C_1)$ and $C_2 \downarrow \eta_{-\varepsilon}(C_2)$ with $Q \in \eta_{-\varepsilon}(C_2)$.

Statements (E) and (F) together assert that all of $P_{t_j} \cap \phi(M)$ is an upward or downward limit of $P_t \cap \phi(M)$, $t \notin \{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$. Statement (G) asserts that these limits are uniform:

(G) Let C be a C^2 diffeomorph of the circle in $P_t \cap \phi(M)$, $t_{j-1} < t < t_j$, such that all the integral curves $\gamma_p(s)$ of V issuing from points $p \in C$ are defined for $0 \leq s < t'$. Let C_s be the set $\{\gamma_p(s) : p \in C\}$. Then C_s converges uniformly to $\eta_{t'}(C)$, i.e., for every neighborhood U of $\eta_{t'}(C)$ there is some s_1 such that $C_s \subset U$ if $s_1 < s < t'$.

(H) For all t , $P_t \cap \phi(M)$ is a union of (C^0) generalized circles; each generalized circle is either an isolated point or a convex (C^0) curve, and no one of the generalized circles intersects the interior of any other.

To verify (H), recall statement (B): if $t \notin \{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$, then $P_t \cap \phi(M)$ is a union of disjoint convex plane curves. The uniform limit of convex plane curves is a convex plane curve and hence a (C^0) generalized circle (note that convexity is essential for this conclusion concerning the uniform limit: the uniform limit of arbitrary generalized circles is not necessarily a generalized circle). Then (G) combined with (E) and (F) implies that, for any t , $P_t \cap \phi(M)$ is a union of convex generalized circles. That no one of these convex curves intersects the interior of any other is a consequence of Lemma 2 and the fact, easily derived from the observations used to prove (B), that the interior in P_t of any of the generalized circles in $P_t \cap \phi(M)$, $t \notin \{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$, is contained in the interior of $\phi(M)$. Since every point of $\phi(M)$ is a boundary point of the interior of $\phi(M)$ by Lemma 2, these generalized circles cannot intersect one another's interiors in P_t . The same conclusion then follows for $t \in \{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$ by a limit argument using (G).

(I) Any two of the generalized circles of (H) can intersect only at one of the $\phi(p_1), \dots, \phi(p_n)$.

To verify this assertion, it suffices to observe that an intersection point in $\phi(M')$ would have to be a critical point of h , but that each critical point of h in $P_t \cap \phi(M')$, being a nondegenerate maximum or minimum of h , is an isolated point in $P_t \cap \phi(M')$.

Now a relation on the nondegenerate generalized circles in all the level sets $P_t \cap \phi(M)$ can be defined as follows:

Two nondegenerate generalized circles C' and C'' in $P_{s_1} \cap \phi(M)$ and $P_{s_2} \cap \phi(M)$, respectively, are *deformation-related* if there is a chain $C' = C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{l-1}, C_l = C''$ of nondegenerate convex curves satisfying

(1) $C_1 \subseteq P_{s'}, \cap \phi(M), C_2 \subseteq P_{s''} \cap \phi(M), \dots, C_l \subseteq P_{s^{(l)}} \cap \phi(M)$ with either $s_1 = s' > s'' > \dots > s^{(l)} = s_2$ or $s_1 = s' < s'' < \dots < s^{(l)} = s_2$.

(2) If $s_1 > s_2$, then for all i either $C_i \downarrow \eta_t(C_i) = C_{i+1}$ or $C_{i+1} \uparrow \eta_t(C_{i+1}) = C_i$ for some t . If $s_1 < s_2$, then for all i either $C_i \uparrow \eta_t(C_i) = C_{i+1}$ or $C_{i+1} \downarrow \eta_t(C_{i+1}) = C_i$ for some t .

The symmetry and reflexiveness of this relation are obvious; that the relation is transitive and hence is an equivalence relation follows from the observation that by (H) the generalized circles in each $P_t \cap \phi(M)$ have disjoint interiors in P_t and hence that a given nondegenerate generalized circle $C_{t'} \subseteq P_{t'} \cap \phi(M)$ cannot be the limit from below (and similarly from above) of two distinct families of generalized circles $C_t \subseteq P_t \cap \phi(M)$ and $C_t^* \subseteq P_t \cap \phi(M)$ as $t \uparrow t'$.

Let $I_1, \dots, I_\alpha, \dots$ be the subsets of $\phi(M)$ obtained by taking, for each distinct equivalence class of nondegenerate generalized circles under the deformation-relatedness equivalence relation, the union of the sets of points of the generalized circles. It is then easily verified from statements (A) and (I) that $I_\alpha \cap I_\beta \subseteq \{\phi(p_1), \dots, \phi(p_n)\}$ if $I_\alpha \neq I_\beta$.

To complete the proof that $P_t \cap \phi(M)$ consists of at most a single generalized circle, consider the set A obtained by deleting from $\phi(M)$ the critical points of h in $\phi(M')$ and the points $\phi(p_1), \dots, \phi(p_n)$. The deleted set is countable because the critical points of h are isolated, and hence it has topological dimension 0, while $\phi(M)$ has topological dimension 2; therefore, A is connected by a theorem of Hurewicz and Wallman [8, p. 48]. Moreover, $I_\alpha \cap A$ is open in A by statement (D) and the existence of box-like neighborhoods in $\phi(M)$ of points in $I_\alpha \cap A$. But $I_\alpha \cap I_\beta \subseteq \{\phi(p_1), \dots, \phi(p_n)\}$ for $I_\alpha \neq I_\beta$, so that $(I_\alpha \cap A) \cap (I_\beta \cap A) = \emptyset$ for $I_\alpha \neq I_\beta$. Thus the connectedness of A implies that there is at most one distinct nonempty $I_\alpha \cap A$. If $I_\alpha \cap A$ is empty so is I_α since I_α is either empty or nondenumerable. Thus there is only one nonempty I_α , say I . Here for any t , $P_t \cap \phi(M)$ contains at most one generalized circle which is nondegenerate.

Suppose that, for some $t \neq t_1, \dots, t_m$, $P_t \cap \phi(M)$ consisted of more than one generalized circle. If one of these circles were nondegenerate, then, for some $t' \neq t_1, \dots, t_m$ near t , $P_{t'} \cap \phi(M)$ would contain two nondegenerate generalized circles. Thus, if $P_t \cap \phi(M)$ contains more than one generalized circle, then $P_t \cap \phi(M)$ most consist only of isolated points, say $q_1, \dots, q_k, k \geq 2$, each q

being either a maximum or a minimum of h . If two of the q 's are maxima, then for some $t' \neq t_1, \dots, t_m$ slightly less than t , $P_{t'} \cap \phi(M)$ would contain two nondegenerate generalized circles; thus there cannot be two maxima among the q 's. Similarly there cannot be two minima among the q 's. Thus there can be but two q 's, one a maximum and one a minimum of h . But then the connected set $\phi(M) - \{q_1, q_2\}$ is separated by the plane P_t . This contradiction shows that $P_t \cap \phi(M)$ contains at most one generalized circle and is hence connected for $t \neq t_1, \dots, t_m$. Since the limit of a single family of convex generalized circles can be only a single generalized circle, it follows then from statements (E), (F), and (G) that $P_t \cap \phi(M)$ is at most a single generalized circle and is hence connected for $t = t_1, \dots, t_m$ as well. Hence the proof of Lemma 4 is complete.

To resume the proof of the theorem, observe that if $p \in M'$ and U is a sufficiently small neighborhood in R^3 of $\phi(p)$, then $U \cap \phi(M') = U \cap \phi(M)$. If the Gaussian curvature is positive at p , then such a U can be so chosen as to satisfy the additional condition that the intersection of the tangent plane of $\phi(M')$ at $\phi(p)$ with $U \cap \phi(M')$ (and hence with $U \cap \phi(M)$) contains only the single point p . If in addition $\eta(p)$ is in the set \mathbb{A} of vectors \vec{N} in S^2 for which the function h_N has only nondegenerate critical points, it follows from Lemma 4 that the intersection of the tangent plane of $\phi(M')$ at $\phi(p)$ with all of $\phi(M)$ contains only the single point p . From the connectedness of $M - \{p\}$, it follows then that $\phi(M)$ lies entirely in one of the (closed) half-spaces of R^3 determined by the tangent plane of $\phi(M')$ at $\phi(p)$. Let \mathbb{B} be the set of points $p \in M'$ such that the Gaussian curvature of M' is positive at p and $\eta(p) \in \mathbb{A}$. Note that \mathbb{B} is not empty; in fact, since \mathbb{A} is everywhere dense in S^2 and η is an open mapping wherever the Gaussian curvature is positive, \mathbb{B} is dense in the set of points of M' at which the Gaussian curvature is positive. If $p \in \mathbb{B}$, then since $\phi(M)$ lies entirely in one of the closed half-spaces determined by the tangent plane of $\phi(M')$ at $\phi(p)$, so does $I \cup \phi(M)$.

Lemma 5. $I \cup \phi(M)$ is a compact convex body in R^3 .

Proof. A plane P in R^3 containing a point x in the boundary of a set in R^3 is called a *local support plane* of the set at x if there is some neighborhood of x in R^3 such that the intersection of the set with the neighborhood lies entirely in one of the closed half-spaces determined by P . A theorem of E. Schmidt is that if a connected set with nonempty interior has a local support plane at each of its boundary points then the set is convex. Thus for the proof of the lemma it suffices (since I is open and nonempty) to show that $I \cup \phi(M)$ has a local support plane at each point of its boundary $\phi(M)$.

Denote by $P_p (p \in M')$ the tangent space in R^3 of $\phi(M')$ at $\phi(p)$. The constancy of the sign of the semidefinite second fundamental form of $\phi': M' \rightarrow R^3$ implies that in a neighborhood of each $\phi(p)$, $p \in M'$, the surface $\phi(M')$ lies in one of the closed half-spaces determined by P_p . To verify this implication, observe that in a neighborhood of $\phi(p)$ the surface $\phi(M')$ is representable as the graph of a function, that by the constancy of the sign of the second fundamental form

this function is convex, and that the graph of a convex function lies locally on one side of its tangent plane. Let H_p be the closed half-space determined by P_p which contains $\phi(M')$ in a neighborhood of $\phi(p)$. It was shown previously that if $p \in \mathbb{B}$, then $I \cup \phi(M)$ lies in H_p . Since \mathbb{B} is not empty and H_p varies continuously with p , it follows that for every $p \in M'$, $I \cup \phi(M)$ lies in H_p in some neighborhood of $\phi(p)$. It remains to show that $I \cup \phi(M)$ has a local support plane at each of the $\phi(p_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. For a given i , there is a sequence $\{q_j | j = 1, 2, \dots; q_j \in \mathbb{B}\}$ of points of \mathbb{B} approaching p_i , since \mathbb{B} is dense in the set of points of M' of positive curvature. There is a subsequence $\{q'_j\}$ such that $\{P_{q'_j}\}$ is a convergent sequence of planes in R^3 . The limit P of $\{P_{q'_j}\}$ is a (local) support plane at $\phi(p_i)$, for if $I \cup \phi(M)$ did not lie in one of the closed half-spaces determined by P , then for q'_j sufficiently near p_i , $I \cup \phi(M)$ could not lie entirely in $H_{q'_j}$. (Note that this argument depends upon the fact that $I \cap \phi(M)$ lies in $H_{q'_j}$ altogether, not just in some neighborhood of q'_j ; a limit of local support planes is not necessarily a local support plane.) q.e.d.

It was observed earlier that the continuous map ϕ is one-to-one except for possible identities among the $\phi(p_1), \dots, \phi(p_n)$. Since $\phi(M)$ is the boundary of a compact convex body, it is homeomorphic to S^2 and $H_1(\phi(M); Z) = 0$. But it is easy to see by using the triangulations of M and $\phi(M)$ described in the proof of Lemma 2, that if identifications among the p_i occur under ϕ then $H_1(\phi(M); Z) \neq 0$. Hence $\phi: M \rightarrow \phi(M)$ must be one-to-one and, being then a one-to-one continuous map from one compact Hausdorff space to another, must be a homeomorphism.

Let D_ϵ be the disc of radius ϵ in M about a fixed point p , and E_ϵ be the set of all oriented unit normals to the planes of support of $\phi(M)$ at points of $\phi(D_\epsilon)$. (A (global) *plane of support* P at a point of a surface is a plane through p such that the surface lies entirely in one of the closed half-spaces determined by P .) Define

$$\tilde{K}(\phi(p)) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{E_\epsilon} \Omega_S / \int_{D_\epsilon} \Omega_M,$$

where Ω_M is the volume element of M , and Ω_S is the volume element of the unit sphere S^2 of R^3 . It will now be shown that $\tilde{K}(\phi(p)) = K(p)$, the Gaussian curvature of M at p . Since $I \cup \phi(M)$ is convex, a local support plane of $I \cup \phi(M)$ is necessarily a global support plane of $\phi(M)$. It was shown in the proof of Lemma 5 that for any $p \in M'$ the tangent plane to $\phi(M')$ at $\phi(p)$ was a local support plane of $I \cup \phi(M)$; clearly no plane other than this tangent plane can be a local support plane of $I \cup \phi(M)$ at $\phi(p)$. It now follows easily from the interpretation of the Gaussian curvature as the Jacobian of the Gauss map and the continuity of the Gaussian curvature of M that $\tilde{K}(\phi(p)) = K(p)$ if $p \in M'$. To see that $\tilde{K}(\phi(p)) = K(p)$ if $p \in M - M'$, note that the Gauss map is one-

to-one on the set \mathbb{B} ; this fact is a consequence of the previous observations that if $q \in \mathbb{B}$, then the tangent plane to $\phi(M')$ at $\phi(q)$ intersects $I \cup \phi(M)$ only at the point $\phi(q)$ and that $I \cup \phi(M)$ lies everywhere on the same side (relative to the oriented normal) of the tangent planes of $\phi(M')$. Now $\eta(M') - \eta(\mathbb{B})$ has measure 0 in S^2 , because $\eta(M') - \eta(M'_+) \subset \mathbb{C}$ has measure zero and $\eta(M'_+) - \eta(\mathbb{B}) \subset \mathbb{C} \cup -\mathbb{C}$ has measure 0, where M'_+ is the set of points of M' of positive curvature. Since

$$\int_M K \Omega_M = \int_{M'} K \Omega_{M'} = \int_{M'} \eta^*(\Omega_S) = 4\pi,$$

the fact that $\eta(M') - \eta(\mathbb{B})$ has measure 0 implies that

$$4\pi = \int_B \eta^*(\Omega_S).$$

Thus, since $\eta|_{\mathbb{B}}$ is one-to-one, the measure of $\eta(\mathbb{B})$ in S^2 is 4π . Hence

$$\int_{E_\epsilon} \Omega_S = \int_{\eta(\mathbb{B}) \cap E_\epsilon} \Omega_S = \int_{\mathbb{B} \cap D_\epsilon} \eta^* \Omega_S = \int_{D_\epsilon} K \Omega_M,$$

$$\tilde{K}(\phi(p)) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{E_\epsilon} \Omega_S / \int_{D_\epsilon} \Omega_M \right) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{D_\epsilon} K \Omega_M / \int_{D_\epsilon} \Omega_M \right) = K(p).$$

Thus $\tilde{K}(\phi(p))$ is equal to $K(p)$ for all $p \in M$, and \tilde{K} is consequently bounded everywhere from above, and in a neighborhood of each p_i is bounded away from zero as well. By a theorem of Alexandrov [1](see also [12, p. 27]), $\phi(M)$ is a C^1 submanifold of R^3 . $\phi: M \rightarrow \phi(M)$ is an isometry except at (possibly) a finite number of points. Thus ϕ is a distance preserving map in the sense that both ϕ and ϕ^{-1} map rectifiable curves to rectifiable curves and that the length of rectifiable curves is preserved under ϕ and ϕ^{-1} . The following regularity theorem [13] of Pogorelov implies that M is a C^4 submanifold of R^3 .

Theorem (Pogorelov). *Let N be a convex surface of class C^1 in R^3 . Suppose that in a neighborhood of some point p in N the generalized Gaussian curvature is positive and finite and that moreover there is a distance-preserving homeomorphism of some neighborhood of p in N onto a Riemannian manifold whose metric is of class C^k ($k \geq 2$). Then N is necessarily a C^{k-1} submanifold of R^3 in some neighborhood of p .*

Pogorelov considers explicitly only the case in which the generalized Gaussian curvature of N is everywhere positive and finite, and in which a distance-preserving homeomorphism onto a Riemannian manifold with C^k metric is defined on all of N . However, his proof that the surface N is C^{k-1} in a neighborhood of an arbitrary point $p \in N$ uses these assumptions only locally, in a neighbor-

hood of p , and it is easy to verify that the same arguments yield the local result stated here.

Since $\phi(M)$ is a C^1 submanifold of R^3 , the Riemannian metric induced on $\phi(M)$ is of class C^3 . The Myers-Steenrod theorem (cf. Palais [11]) implies that the distance-preserving map $\phi: M \rightarrow \phi(M)$ is an isometry of class C^2 at least. Thus $\phi: M \rightarrow R^3$ is a C^2 isometric embedding. By the rigidity theorem of Sacksteder [15] and Voss [18], the map ϕ is rigid in the class of C^2 isometric embeddings of M . Hence ϕ' is necessarily rigid in the class of C^3 isometric embeddings of M' .

References

- [1] A. D. Alexandrov, *Smoothness of the convex surface of bounded Gaussian curvature*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **36** (1942) 195–199, (Russian).
- [2] S. S. Chern & R. K. Lashof, *On the total curvature of immersed manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **79** (1957) 306–318; II, Michigan Math. J. **5** (1958) 5–12.
- [3] S. Cohn-Vossen, *The isometric deformability of surfaces in the large*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk, No. 1 (1936) 33–76, (Russian).
- [4] R. E. Greene & H. Wu, *On the rigidity of punctured ovaloids*, Ann. of Math., **94** (1971) 1–20.
- [5] J. Hadamard, *Sur certaines propriétés des trajectoires en dynamique*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **3** (1897) 331–387.
- [6] G. Herglotz, *Über die Starrheit der Eiflächen*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg **15** (1943) 127–129.
- [7] N. J. Hicks, *Notes on differential geometry*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey, 1964.
- [8] W. Hurewicz & H. Wallman, *Dimension theory*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1948.
- [9] A. P. Morse, *The behavior of a function on its critical set*, Ann. of Math. **40** (1939) 62–70.
- [10] M. H. A. Newman, *Elements of the topology of plane sets of points*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1954.
- [11] R. S. Palais, *On the differentiability of isometries*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957) 805–807.
- [12] A. V. Pogorelov, *Die Verbiegung konvexer Flächen*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1957.
- [13] —, *On the regularity of a convex surface with a regular metric in Euclidean space*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **139** (1961) 1056–1058.
- [14] R. Sacksteder, *On hypersurfaces with non-negative sectional curvatures*, Amer. J. Math. **82** (1960) 609–630.
- [15] —, *The rigidity of hypersurfaces*, J. Math. Mech. **11** (1962) 929–939.
- [16] R. Sacksteder, E. G. Straus & F. A. Valentine, *A generalization of a theorem of Tietze and Nakajima on local convexity*, J. London Math. Soc. **36** (1961) 52–56.
- [17] A. Sard, *The measure of the critical values of differentiable maps*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **48** (1942) 883–890.
- [18] K. Voss, *Differential geometrie geschlossener Flächen im Euclidischen Raum*, Jber. Deutsch. Math. Verein. **63** (1960–61) 117–136.
- [19] T. J. Willmore, *An introduction to differential geometry*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1959.
- [20] A. Wintner, *On isometric surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. **74** (1952) 198–214.

COURANT INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY